Previous

App F IX 4

1925

(Municipal)

File No 84-25

Previous References

Serial Nos 121, 124, 125, 141, 142, 145

Later References

Ml 43-30 nos 21, 24, 32, 42

" 63 32 - (146, 202)

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial Nos

Part B for October 1926

SUBJECT

Revised draft bye-laws of Kozhencherry Municipality

145 To the Deputy Commissioner Hassan with bye laws & records

Sanctions the bye laws

HVS 17/8/27

WD 1 264—GPB—40 000—17 12-25
App I III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

III C File No 84 of 1925

Serial No	
121	Letter No 304 dy 28-6-26 from D C Raman forwards for approval the revised draft bye laws for levy of Market fees on kerosene sold and states that the usual Government sanction for the same action has been accorded to levying the proposed rates with effect from 1st July 1926
	The revised bye laws are similar to those framed for the Brokal mkt (Flag J) except for the addition of certain new items in bye law no. 1 newly set up & many perhaps be approved the DC states that fees will be levied according to the rates mentioned in the Bye laws which are however different from those originally recommended by the DC in his letter dy 4-1-26 which have been approved by Govt in their letter dy 26-2-26 (Flag O) It is for orders of the Dist whether he may be moved to the necessary alterations made in the rates now proposed & whether the bye laws may be sanctioned as they stand

DC sends the letter on 28-6-26 & wants sanction to the bye laws from 1-7-26

Please see Govt's order noted in connection with the

Flag N

D 60
Reminder sent to DC is noted on file
MAA 3/7

Shown for information to the Archaeological Surveyor & a copy of the bye laws suspended
Please see Flag J
Note—The file is a thick (1) sheet
The file is available for inspection if required
MAA 6/7/26

WD 01264—GPB—40 000—17 12 25

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192

Serial No

Flag N

The deputation of Karsan reasserted their objection to the draft bylaws sent up by the Konarun Municipal in regard to the levy of fees in the Municipal Market. The draft previously sent up had been returned for revision on the lines of the Amritsar Municipal bylaws in the matter. The draft now sent up is on the form adopted by Amritsar Municipal, but there is a difference in the rate recommended for the several items on which fees are to be levied which follow the rate originally recommended by the Municipal against the order of Government requiring the adoption of the revised rate. If there is some defect in the procedure adopted also in the matter for market for general information under rule 30(3)(b). The revised rate were followed but the body of the bylaws followed the original draft of the Municipal & not the lines adopted by the Amritsar Municipal which have been followed in the copy now sent up.

Flag O

Flag J

Flag H

3 The D.C. says that in view of the fact that the Municipal revenue has suffered for want of the bylaws & that no objections have been received to the bylaws he has instructed the President to take remedial action to levy out the rates of ascending to the

NOTE—Cents Sheet

Serial No

rates given in the draft bylaws
These rates cannot perhaps be accepted
as they are different from what was
wanted & what were published for
for the information

4 Though there is some difference
in regard to the body of the bylaws
between the published in general information
& now sent up, this may perhaps be
overlooked as first wanted to know
the pleasure of the Ameer on bylaws
which is now done and the bylaws
approved with the rates proposed
by the Assessor & published for general
information

5 If the is not to be done,
the bylaws will have to be returned
for necessary revision & retransmission
after adopting the other formalities
required, such as publication for
general information & sanction by the
Council.

A

B

6 If A will made the bye laws
legally all right & may be
done — Otherwise B

278
9/7

Draft sent up as at (3)

NOTE—Contd Sheet

Serial No	
	8 It may not be quite correct for first to approve of the by-laws with the reports in proceedings of the Committee whereby the by-laws with the reasons therefor as noted L.H.Rees) 12/7 [illegible] 12/7
417/13/7	9 [illegible] 15/7 [illegible] 15/7 [illegible] 15/7
124	D.C. Harran No L 3882 15 7 26 Returns the by-laws for republication & resubmission to Council
125	From the D.C. Harran No 3044 98: Resubmits the by-laws with the rates duly revised & states that the by-laws were published locally on 22 7 26 but have not been objected to as yet 10: The by-laws may now be approved but it seems necessary to know if no objections were received up to 22-8-26 i.e. one month after the publication the D.C. [illegible] has

Note—Cents Sheet

Serial No

instructed the Press of the Income Committee to be kept for fortnight for receipt of objections from the public vide AC no Rev 307 dt 20/7/26 on the records (Flag X)

Apl 27.8.26 : The mere mention of the 15 days limit in the notice does not necessarily deprive the right of the individual objecting, if any to send his objection even thereafter The more important point seems to be whether actually any objection was received within one month. To be on the safe side an enquiry on this point may perhaps be made before according sanction

Mem Shri Hari 27/8/26 I marked him a Memo enquiring if any objection has been received since

27/8

Draft put up

Apl 28-8-26

28/8

30/8

141 Dr Hasan's note dt 17/2/27 Enquiries if any objection has been received since

REVENUE & SECRETARIAT Secret 21/9 NOTE—Cobtn Sheet

WD 0 264—GPB—40 000—17 12 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mul File No 84 of 1925

Serial No	
142	Forwarded Dis Hassan no Roc 30th dy 17 9 26 Stating that no objections have been received for the bye laws The revenue bye laws relating (Flag A) may be advanced Sealed A draft is also Sealed for ref, 25 9 26 29/9/26
R 7	The Secy C. Hassan, wants sanction to the bye laws framed by the Municipal Board in lieu of Thanksgiving Fee - The bye laws are in accordance with the instructions issued by Government & may be approved 1st December 27/9 30/9 1/10 1/10
3668/30/9	
	1/10
14	So not 2478-29 S 10/26 Approval of the bye laws
B - 5/10 10/11	

NOTE—Contn Sheet

304-25/L & G

Encls

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Dated Hassan, the 28th June 1926

From

NANASAMY RAO, ESQ ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

To

NAGAPPA, ESQ , Bar-at-law ,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 5806/ L 84-25-57 dated nil but received in this office on the 27th February 1926 returning the draft bye-laws of the Konanur Municipality for levy of market fees for revision in the light of the approved bye-laws of the Anekal unicipali I have the honour to forward herewith the draft bye-laws duly revised a desired for favour of approval of Government

2 The Municipal Council are anxious to introduce the levy of th fees from at least the beginning of the next official year, and the Pre dent requests that the bye-laws may be approved early, so as to enable to lease out the right of collecting the fees by public auction before t close of the current official year In view ofthe fact that the unici revenue greatly suffered the whole of this year for want of these bye-law and also of the fact that the bye-laws have been duly ublished locally a no objections have been received so far, I have instructed the President take needful action to lease out the right of collecting the fees accordi to the rates given in the draft bye-laws, which have been approved by Government, so that it may be given effect to immediately after the recei of the byelaws duly approved by Government

3 I request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government and r turn the bye-laws duly approved as early as practicable The file of vernacular correspondence ending with the President's No 103 dated 20th June 1926 on the subject is forwarded herewith for refer e an obliging return My letter No 304-25/L & G dated 4th January 192 addressed to you which was received with your letter under reference reply

8

is also returned herewith

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

HASSAN DISTRICT

9

etter o ll dat l 23th June 19 r m the President,
l Council, Konanur, to the Deput Comm sioner, Hassan
rict

=======

In obedience to your orders No 304-25/L & C dated 10-6-1926,
to submit that the bye-laws of the Konanur ar et fo s have
been submit ed with necessary corrections in this office o 193
d ed 20-6-1926

As the municipality will suffer a serious loss if the sale of
t e rig t is postponed, sanction may kindly be accorded for collecting
the right in Amani till they are finally sanctioned by Government

Early orders are solicited

==========

" COPY "

Deputy Commissioner,

Hassan District

10

App. F VI G1.,

B650

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 15/4/26

Signed by _ _ hm TLS

List of enclosures_____ _____

Copied by _ ___ _ _

Examined by _ _ _

Section Genl

File No 84-25

Serial No 124

No L388 wm (Revd)

To The Secy to Government

Sir

With reference to your letter no 304-25 Leg dy 28 6 26, forwarding for sanction the revised Legislative Council Rules framed by the Karachi Municipality for levy of Octroi, I am directed to state that the rates mentioned in the Legislative Council items on Cloth & Fees are to be levied are different from those recommended in your letter no 304 dy 4/26 and allowed by Govt

cf

In Their office letter 208
L 5506 2nd 84 28-5-7 Dg 26 2 26 Hq O
There is some defect in
the procedure adopted also
in the notice published for
general information on
30th March 26, the rates
recommended by Govt were
forwarded but the wording of
the legislative followed the
original draft framed
by the Dy Secy and was not
on the lines adopted by
the Standard Act which have
been followed in the drafts
hitherto sent up I am therefore
to request that a revised
copy of the legislative on the lines of
the draft now sent may be sent
with
the revised rates approved
by Govt may be agreed
finalised for publication
under the rules
& communicated for their orders,
If Govt disagree with any
of the extracts that may be required
thereto & the need will be noted in the notice
& the notice as I write above etc
Yours Sincerely

09857

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Dated Hassan, the 19th August 1926

No ROC 304-25/LSG
Bnd and P file



From

R Nanasamy Rao, Esquire ,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District,
Hassan



B Nagappa, Esq , Bar-at-Law ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore

Mul 84-25-140

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the draft byelaws of the Konanur Municipality for levy of market fees duly revised as per instructions conveyed in your letter No: L388/Ml 84-25-124 dated 15th ~~December~~ July 1926, along with the resolution of the Municipal Council, dated 25th July 1926 and the connected records and to request the favour of sanction of Government thereto

2 It may be seen from the draft byelaws that the rates of the fees approved of by Government, in your letter No L5806/Ml 84-25-57 dated 26th February 1926, have been adopted now The President reports that they were duly published locally on the 22nd July 1926 and there has been no objection received so far thereto

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

AS

APP F VI G1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 192

Date of issue 2/9/26

Signed by L. T. K. S.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Jud
File No 84-25
Serial No 141
No C/1721
With Record

To The D C Hassan

Sir,

With reference to your letter No Roc 304 Dy 8 26 forwarding for sanction revised draft bye laws of the Konanur Mcty for levy of market fees, I am directed to state that under the rules one months time from date of publication of the Bye laws is required for the receipt of objections and to enquire whether any objections have

over

14

been received since
the records received with
your letter are herewith
returned for reference &
resubmission with your reply

Thanks

14638

15

No Roc 304-25/L S ..

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
assan District,
Dated Hassan, the 17th September 26



From

R Manasamy Rao, Esq ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

To



B Nagappa, Esq , Bar-at-Law ,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B A N G A L O R E

Jud 84-25-142

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 1722/L L 84-25-141 dated the 2nd September 1926, enquiring whether any objections have been received since in respect of the draft byelaws of the Konanur Municipality for levy of market fees, I have the honour to reply in the negative

2 The draft byelaws and the connected file of correspondence received back with your letter under reply are retransmitted herewith for favour of orders of Government

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

21 9 26

for Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District

KSR

16

G R S VI G 34]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue 5/10/26

Signed by

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a stand ng order ?

iii published in the Gazette
Engl sh Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the dispo al of the press ?

vi published in the Ga ette
Kannada Part ?

Section

File No

Serial No 145

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— correspondence ending with letter
R-O C 302 of 1917 of 26th
The D C Hassan, Shimoga
for permission to reward
the
Komarappa and Comman
for the arrest of murderer
and stating that
Order Thereon No The boys have
been duly rewarded
locally on the 23rd June
1926 and that no objection
to be here reward
thereto

17

Order 20 £2478 with Enclosures
Sanctioned

The Lyttelton Times Co Ltd
[illegible] to publish [illegible] as
[illegible] & a dozen copies
Ordered to Govt for reference

[illegible] Secy to Govt
L&R

The L C Has no Objection
Lyttelton & Reserves

[illegible]

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—xi 12-41

(Duplicate Copy) 18

Bye laws framed under section 70-2 of the Municipal Regulation, in the Honawar Municipal area alias Taluk, Kassaragod District, as per Govt order No. L 1720-7 Mal 205-19-6 dated 21 9 21

1 Fees will be levied on all shops & carts brought into the weekly market held within the limits of the Honawar town according to the rates specified below,

2 No owners or shop keepers will not be allowed to keep shops or sell their things unless the fees are paid up and the said fees will not be refunded to the shop keeper on any account whatever

3 The shop keepers are bound to obey the orders that may be passed from time to time by the President or the Vice President regarding the place etc where the particular kinds of shops are to be located

4 Any person who contravenes the provisions of these Bye-laws will be liable to criminal prosecution before a Magistrate and on conviction be liable to a fine not exceeding Rs 10/-

Market fees will be levied according to the following rates —

1	On every laden cart	— — 1 —
2.	On every unladen cart	— — — — 6
3	Cudamadam Bugadi	— — 1 — —
4	Petty shops	— — — — 6
5	On every buffalo, bull, bullock cow, ass, or horse	— — — — 6
6	On every sheep & goat	— —
7	On every head load	— — — 3

By 22 7 26
Honawar

By Lambanna
President
Taluk Committee

17

o 304-25/L S G,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
HASSAN DISTRICT,
DATED, Hasan, the 29th June 1926

Dear Sir,

I enclose herein a copy of a D O letter dated the 28th June 1926 from the Amildar President of the Konanur Municipal Council requesting sanction to the levy of market fees at the Konanur shandy

The collection of the fees has been stopped for nearly a year as per your letter No L 2025/ML 115-24-10 dated 19th September 1925, and as therein required necessary bye-laws were submitted to Government for sanction with my letter No 304-25/L S G dated 28th June 1926

As it is represented that the finances of the Municipality will suffer seriously, I request you to be so good as to obtain the early orders of Government in the matter

Yours sincerely,

B NAGAPPA, ESQUIRE, Bar-at-law,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore